

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,667	10/27/2003	Paul J. Maddon	P0741.70006US00	4456
75482 PROGENICS	7590 07/22/200 PHARMACEUTICAL:	EXAM	EXAMINER	
c/o WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.			RAWLINGS, STEPHEN L	
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2206		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

1. Amendments to the specification:

☐ 2 Abstract:

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/695,667	MADDON ET A	L.			
xaminer	Art Unit				
Stephen L. Rawlings	1643				

The amendment document filed on 31 January 2008 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:

□ 8. Other	
showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1 C. Other	ted. Replacement drawings
 ☑ 4. Amendments to the claims: ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including the claim service). ☑ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be usual to the claim so of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Current (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn). ☑ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending the continuation Sheet. 	s such, the individual status be indicated after its claim atly amended), (Canceled), rn-currently amended).
☐ 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFI	R 1.4):
or further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 7	14.
IME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final filed after allowane. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amenientire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	
Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail dat correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amend (including a submission for a request for confinued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1. amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amer Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	dment, a non-final amendment .114), a supplemental ndment filed in response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant a amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	mendment is a non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final arribled in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary arr	
amendment. /Stephen L. Rawlings/ Examiner, Art Unit 1643 (571) 272-0	0836
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable Telephone	No.

2.

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324)

Application No. 10/695,667

Continuation of 1(c) Other: The amendment is non-compliant because the paragraph intended to replace the paragraph at page 27, beginning in line 32 is not marked in a manner consistent with the practice as set forth under 37 C.F.R. § 1.121, so as to show each and every change made relative to the immediate prior version as set forth by the amendment filled August 20, 2007.

Continuation of 4(e) Other. It appears that the claim set is a duplicate of the claim set of the amendment filed August 20, 2007, but if is not immediately apparent whether any changes relative to the earlier filed claim set have been made because the claims are not identified or marked in the manner that is consistent with the practice as set forth under 37 C.F.R.§ 1.121. Moreover, It claim set is indeed identical to the claim set of the earlier filed amendment, the present claim set is non-compliant because the status of the claims is not properly identified and none of the text of the claims should be marked to indicate that a change has been made relative to the prior version thereof.

/Stephen L. Rawlings/ Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D. Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643